UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

27799

7590

02/05/2010

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176 EXAMINER

BORSETTI, GREG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2626

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,243	07/03/2006	Vincent Barriac	5284-66PUS	8009

TITLE OF INVENTION: METHOD AND DEVICES FOR EVALUATING TRANSMISSION TIMES AND FOR PROCESING A VOICE SIGNAL

RECEIVED IN A TERMINAL CONNECTED TO A PACKET NETWORK

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	05/05/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

ap in m

appropriate. All further	correspondence including d below or directed oth	g the Patent, advance of	of the control of the	naintenance fees wi	ill be mailed to the cu	irrent co	orrespondence address as
	ENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Blo	ock 1 for any change of address)	Note Fee(pape have	e: A certificate of rest. Transmittal. This ers. Each additional erits own certificate	nailing can only be us s certificate cannot be u paper, such as an assi of mailing or transmiss	ed for our sed for gnment sion.	domestic mailings of the any other accompanying or formal drawing, must
27799 7590 02/05/2010 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210			LLP Lhe	Certificate of Mailing or Transmission I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.			
NEW YORK, N	Y 10176						(Depositor's name)
							(Signature)
							(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET N	4O.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,243 TITLE OF INVENTION RECEIVED IN A TERM			Vincent Barriac TING TRANSMISSION RK	TIMES AND FOR	5284-66PUS R PROCESING A VO	DICE SI	8009 IGNAL
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE	FEE TOTAL FEE(S)	DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810		05/05/2010
EXAM	INER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS				
BORSET	ΓI, GREG	2626	704-217000	•			
"Fee Address" ind. PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-0 Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A	ondence address (or Cha 3/122) attached. ication (or "Fee Address' 2 or more recent) attach ND RESIDENCE DATA ess an assignee is identi h in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp	nge of Correspondence Indication form ed. Use of a Customer TO BE PRINTED ON	2. For printing on the p (1) the names of up to or agents OR, alternativ (2) the name of a single registered attorney or a 2 registered patent attoristed, no name will be THE PATENT (print or type data will appear on the patent authors.) (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY)	3 registered patent vely, e firm (having as a agent) and the name rneys or agents. If n printed. be) atent. If an assigne assignment.	attorneys 1 member a 2 s of up to to name is 3 e is identified below,	the doc	ument has been filed for
Please check the appropriate 4a. The following fee(s) and Issue Fee			rinted on the patent): b. Payment of Fee(s): (Plea				entity Government
☐ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) ☐ Advance Order - # of Copies			Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number				
	s SMALL ENTITY statu	s. See 37 CFR 1.27.	b. Applicant is no long				
interest as shown by the i	records of the United Sta	tes Patent and Trademark	Office.	applicant, a regio	or agent	, 51 1110	and a surer party in
Authorized Signature				Date			
Typed or printed name	e			Registration No	э		
This collection of inform an application. Confident submitting the completed this form and/or suggesti Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223	tiality is governed by 35 I application form to the ons for reducing this but irginia 22313-1450. DC	FR 1.311. The informatic U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary den, should be sent to the NOT SEND FEES OR	on is required to obtain or r 1.14. This collection is est depending upon the indiv ee Chief Information Office COMPLETED FORMS TO	etain a benefit by th imated to take 12 m idual case. Any cor er, U.S. Patent and 7 D THIS ADDRESS.	te public which is to fill ninutes to complete, inc mments on the amount frademark Office, U.S. SEND TO: Commissi	e (and be cluding a of time Depart oner for	by the USPTO to process) gathering, preparing, and you require to complete ment of Commerce, P.O. Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,243	07/03/2006	Vincent Barriac	5284-66PUS	8009
27799 75	90 02/05/2010		EXAM	INER
COHEN, PONTA	ANI, LIEBERMAN &	BORSETTI, GREG		
551 FIFTH AVEN	UE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY	10176		2626 DATE MAILED: 02/05/201	0

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 121 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 121 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/564,243	BARRIAC ET AL.					
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ODEC A DODCETTI	2020					
	GREG A. BORSETTI	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is sub-	is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS					
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>remarks (12/8/2009)</u> .							
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23</u> .							
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some* c) None of the:		f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application N	No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received ir	this national stage application from the					
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* Certified copies not received:							
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the requirements					
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give							
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.						
	(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached						
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date							
, — , — .		the Office action of					
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date							
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t							
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT							
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application					
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Sum						
2. In Notice of Dialiperson's Laterit Diawing Neview (FTO-940)	Paper No./Ma	nil Date .					
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	7. ☐ Examiner's An	nendment/Comment					
Paper No./Mail Date 4.	8. 🛛 Examiner's Sta	atement of Reasons for Allowance					
or biological material	9.						
/Greg A. Borsetti/							
Examiner, Art Unit 2626							

Application/Control Number: 10/564,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-23 are pending.

2. Claims 19-20 have been amended.

3. The 35 USC 101 rejections to claims 19-20 have been withdrawn in view of the

amendments received 12/8/2009.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claim 1, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest, alone or in reasonable combination:

A method for evaluating a processing delay of a speech signal contained in data packets received in a receiver terminal during a voice call to a terminal sending said data packets over a packet-switched network, the receiver terminal having a telephony module which generates a reconstituted speech signal from the received data packets, said method comprising the steps of:

obtaining, at the receiver terminal, a stream of audio packets from the received data packets and decoding the audio packet stream within a predetermined decoding time to reconstitute a first speech signal from the received packets of the audio stream;

duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal;

determining, at the receiver terminal, a time difference between the first speech signal and the second speech signal; and

calculating, at the receiver terminal, the processing delay of the speech signal contained in the data packets received in the receiver terminal from at least the determined time difference between said first and second speech signals and said predetermined decoding time.

Galetto et al. teaches the determination of speech latency across a communication network element having an input interface and an output interface includes allocating a timestamp to the data packets of a sample of data packets representing a speech signal at the two interfaces, recording the timestamps together with the corresponding data packets, decoding the recorded data packets at both interfaces to generate respective envelopes in the time domain, cross-correlating the envelopes to determine correlating areas of the envelopes, and determining a value for the speech latency between the interfaces from the timestamps associated with correlating areas of the envelopes. (Abstract) Galetto, however, fails to teach the limitation of duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal. Galetto, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Psytechnics teaches a determination of end-to-end delay based on the additional information about the delay estimate from RTCP packets, coding and packetization delay, jitter delay, and access delay from both the send and receive side. (Pages 2-3) Psytechnics, however, fails to teach the limitation of duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal. Psytechnics, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Kirla teaches the calculation of the transmission delay of a packet-switched network by using a Ping technique. (col. 8, lines 36-40) Kirla, however, fails to teach the limitation of duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal. Kirla, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Schaffer teaches sending end-to-end delay information over a packet-switched network to a collection server configured to manage end-to-end delay information sent by a plurality of communication terminals connected to a network. (col. 2, lines 32-35) Schaffer, however, fails to teach the limitation of duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal. Schaffer, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent

limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Claims 2-13 are also considered allowable for depending on, and further limiting, allowable claim 1.

As per claim 14, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest, alone or in reasonable combination,

A device for evaluating a processing delay of a speech signal contained in data packets received in a receiver terminal during a voice call to a terminal sending said data packets over a packet-switched network, the receiver terminal having a telephony module which generates a reconstituted speech signal from the received data packets, said device comprising:

a network filter module configured to obtain, at the receiver terminal, a stream of audio packets from the received data packets;

a control decoder module having a predetermined decoding time for decoding the stream of audio packets obtained and for reconstituting a first speech signal from the received packets of the audio stream;

an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal;

means for determining, at the receiver terminal, a time difference between the first speech signal and the second speech signal; and

means for calculating, at the receiver terminal, the processing delay of the speech signal contained in data packets received in the receiver terminal from at least the determined time difference between said first and second speech signals and the predetermined decoding time.

Galetto et al. teaches the determination of speech latency across a communication network element having an input interface and an output interface includes allocating a timestamp to the data packets of a sample of data packets representing a speech signal at the two interfaces, recording the timestamps together with the corresponding data packets, decoding the recorded data packets at both interfaces to generate respective envelopes in the time domain, cross-correlating the envelopes to determine correlating areas of the envelopes, and determining a value for the speech latency between the interfaces from the timestamps associated with correlating areas of the envelopes. (Abstract) Galetto, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Galetto, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Psytechnics teaches a determination of end-to-end delay based on the additional information about the delay estimate from RTCP packets, coding and packetization delay, jitter delay, and access delay from both the send and receive side. (Pages 2-3) Psytechnics, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Psytechnics, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Kirla teaches the calculation of the transmission delay of a packet-switched network by using a Ping technique. (col. 8, lines 36-40) Kirla, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Kirla, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Schaffer teaches sending end-to-end delay information over a packet-switched network to a collection server configured to manage end-to-end delay information sent by a plurality of communication terminals connected to a network. (col. 2, lines 32-35) Schaffer, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module,

the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal.

Schaffer, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Claims 15-18, 21-23 are also considered allowable for depending on, and further limiting, allowable claim 14.

As per claim 19, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest, alone or in reasonable combination,

A computer-readable storage medium encoded with a computer program executed by a computer that causes evaluation of a processing delay of a speech signal contained in data packets received in a receiver terminal during a voice call to a terminal sending said data packets over a packet-switched network, the receiver terminal having a telephony module which generates a reconstituted speech signal from the received data packets, the computer program comprising:

program code for obtaining, at the receiver terminal, a stream of audio packets from the received data packets and decoding the audio packet stream within a predetermined decoding time to reconstitute a first speech signal from the received packets of the audio stream;

program code for duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech

signal;

program code for determining, at the receiver terminal, a time difference between the first speech signal and the second speech signal; and

program code for calculating, at the receiver terminal, the processing delay of the speech signal contained in the data packets received in the receiver terminal from at least the determined time difference between said first and second speech signals and said predetermined decoding time.

Galetto et al. teaches the determination of speech latency across a communication network element having an input interface and an output interface includes allocating a timestamp to the data packets of a sample of data packets representing a speech signal at the two interfaces, recording the timestamps together with the corresponding data packets, decoding the recorded data packets at both interfaces to generate respective envelopes in the time domain, cross-correlating the envelopes to determine correlating areas of the envelopes, and determining a value for the speech latency between the interfaces from the timestamps associated with correlating areas of the envelopes. (Abstract) Galetto, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Galetto, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech

signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Psytechnics teaches a determination of end-to-end delay based on the additional information about the delay estimate from RTCP packets, coding and packetization delay, jitter delay, and access delay from both the send and receive side. (Pages 2-3) Psytechnics, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Psytechnics, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Kirla teaches the calculation of the transmission delay of a packet-switched network by using a Ping technique. (col. 8, lines 36-40) Kirla, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Kirla, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Schaffer teaches sending end-to-end delay information over a packet-switched network to a collection server configured to manage end-to-end delay information sent by a plurality of communication terminals connected to a network. (col. 2, lines 32-35)

Schaffer, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal.

Schaffer, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

As per claim 20, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest, alone or in reasonable combination,

A computer-readable storage medium encoded with a computer program executed by a computer that causes evaluation of a processing delay of a speech signal contained in data packets received in a receiver terminal during a voice call to a terminal sending said data packets over a packet-switched network, the receiver terminal having a telephony module which generates a reconstituted speech signal from the received data packets, the computer program comprising:

program code for obtaining, at the receiver terminal, a stream of audio packets from the received data packets and decoding the audio packet stream within a predetermined decoding time to reconstitute a first speech signal from the received packets of the audio stream;

program code for duplicating, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module to constitute a second speech signal;

program code for determining, at the receiver terminal, a time difference between the first speech signal and the second speech signal; and

program code for calculating, at the receiver terminal, the processing delay of the speech signal contained in the data packets received in the receiver terminal from at least the determined time difference between said first and second speech signals and said predetermined decoding time; and

program code for evaluating the calculated processing delay of the speech signal in the terminal to evaluate end-to-end transmission delay of the speech signal contained in the data packets received in the receiver terminal during the voice call to the receiver terminal sending said speech signal over the packet-switched network.

Galetto et al. teaches the determination of speech latency across a communication network element having an input interface and an output interface includes allocating a timestamp to the data packets of a sample of data packets representing a speech signal at the two interfaces, recording the timestamps together with the corresponding data packets, decoding the recorded data packets at both interfaces to generate respective envelopes in the time domain, cross-correlating the envelopes to determine correlating areas of the envelopes, and determining a value for the speech latency between the interfaces from the timestamps associated with correlating areas of the envelopes. (Abstract) Galetto, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal

constituting a second speech signal. Galetto, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Psytechnics teaches a determination of end-to-end delay based on the additional information about the delay estimate from RTCP packets, coding and packetization delay, jitter delay, and access delay from both the send and receive side. (Pages 2-3) Psytechnics, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Psytechnics, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Kirla teaches the calculation of the transmission delay of a packet-switched network by using a Ping technique. (col. 8, lines 36-40) Kirla, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Kirla, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

Schaffer teaches sending end-to-end delay information over a packet-switched network to a collection server configured to manage end-to-end delay information sent by a plurality of communication terminals connected to a network. (col. 2, lines 32-35) Schaffer, however, an audio filter module configured to duplicate, at the receiver terminal, at least a portion of the speech signal reconstituted by the telephony module, the duplicated portion of the speech signal constituting a second speech signal. Schaffer, therefore, also fails to teach the subsequent limitations involving the calculation of the processing delay of the speech signal because the first and second speech signals are not both generated at the receiver terminal.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREG A. BORSETTI whose telephone number is (571)270-3885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (8am - 5pm Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHEMOND DORVIL can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/564,243 Page 15

Art Unit: 2626

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Greg A. Borsetti/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626

/Talivaldis Ivars Smits/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

1/27/2010